arXiv:1407.5866v1 [math.PR] 22 Jul 2014

ON THE J_1 CONVERGENCE FOR PARTIAL SUM PROCESSES WITH A REDUCED NUMBER OF JUMPS

DANIJEL KRIZMANIĆ

Abstract. Various functional limit theorems for partial sum processes of strictly stationary sequences of regularly varying random variables in the space of càdlàg functions $D[0,1]$ with one of the Skorohod topologies have already been obtained. The mostly used Skorohod J_1 topology is inappropriate when clustering of large values of the partial sum processes occurs. When all extremes within each cluster of high-threshold excesses do not have the same sign, Skorohod M_1 topology also becomes inappropriate. In this paper we alter the definition of the partial sum process in order to shrink all extremes within each cluster to a single one, which allow us to obtain the functional J_1 convergence. We also show that this result can be applied to some standard time series models, including the GARCH(1,1) process and its squares, the stochastic volatility models and m–dependent sequences.

1. Introduction

Let $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of real valued random variables and define by $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n,\ n \geq 1$, its accompanying sequence of partial sums. If the sequence (X_n) is i.i.d. then it is well known (see for example Gnedenko and Kolmogorov [10], Rvačeva [17], Feller [9]) that there exist real sequences (a_n) and (b_n) such that

$$\frac{S_n - b_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{d} S \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

for some non-degenerate α–stable random variable S with $\alpha \in (0,2)$ if and only if X_1 is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in (0,2)$, that is,

$$\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > x) = x^{-\alpha} L(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where $L(\,\cdot\,)$ is a slowly varying function at ∞ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{P}(X_1 > x)}{\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > x)} \to p \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{P}(X_1 < -x)}{\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > x)} \to q, \tag{1.3}$$

as $x \to \infty$, with $p \in [0,1]$ and $q = 1 - p$. As α is less than 2, the variance of X_1 is infinite.

The functional generalization of (1.1) has been studied extensively in probability literature. Define the partial sum processes

$$V_n(t) = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (X_k - b_n), \qquad t \in [0,1],$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60F17; Secondary 60G52, 60G55.

Key words and phrases. Functional limit theorem, Partial sum process, Regular variation, Skorohod J_1 topology, Lévy process, Weak dependence, Mixing.

where the sequences (a_n) and (b_n) are chosen as

$$n\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > a_n) \to 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad b_n = \mathrm{E}\big(X_1\, 1_{\{|X_1|\le a_n\}}\big).$$

Here $\lfloor x \rfloor$ represents the integer part of the real number x. In functional limit theory one investigates the asymptotic behavior of the processes $V_n(\,\cdot\,)$ as $n \to \infty$. Since the sample paths of $V_n(\,\cdot\,)$ are elements of the space $D[0,1]$ of all right-continuous real valued functions on $[0,1]$ with left limits, it is natural to consider the weak convergence of distributions of $V_n(\,\cdot\,)$ with the one of Skorohod topologies on $D[0,1]$ introduced in Skorohod [19].

Skorohod [20] established a functional limit theorem for the processes $V_n(\,\cdot\,)$ for infinite variance i.i.d. regularly varying sequences (X_n). Under some weak dependence conditions, weak convergence of partial sum processes were obtained by Leadbetter and Rootzén [12] and Tyran-Kamińska [21]. Their functional limit theorems hold in Skorohod J_1 topology, which is appropriate when large values of the partial sum processes do not cluster. When clustering of large values occurs then J_1 convergence fails to hold, but the functional limit theorem might still hold in the weaker Skorohod M_1 topology. Avram and Taqqu [1] obtained a functional limit theorem with Skorohod M_1 topology for sums of moving averages with nonnegative coefficients. Recently Basrak et al. [3] gave sufficient conditions for functional limit theorem with M_1 topology to hold for stationary, regularly varying sequences for which all extremes within each cluster of high-threshold excesses have the same sign.

In this paper we alter the definition of the partial sum process in the manner that all extremes within each cluster shrink to a single one, which allows us to recover the J_1 convergence. Note that the process $V_n(t)$ jumps at every $t = k/n$ $(k \le n)$, with $(X_k - b_n)/a_n$ being the size of the jump. Now we reduce the number of jumps (or alternatively increase the intervals between jumps) by introducing a sequence of positive integers (r_n) such that $r_n \to \infty$ and $k_n := \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and defining new partial sum processes

$$W_n(t) = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor k_n t \rfloor} (S_{r_n}^k - c_n), \qquad t \in [0,1],$$

where $S_{r_n}^k = X_{(k-1)r_n+1} + \ldots + X_{kr_n}$ $(k,\, n \in \mathbb{N})$, and c_n are centering constants which will be specified later. The process $W_n(t)$ jumps at every $t = k/k_n$, with $(S_{r_n}^k - c_n)/a_n$ being the size of the jump. In other words, we break $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ into blocks of r_n consecutive random variables and treat the sums of random variables within each block as we treated single random variables X_i in the process $V_n(\,\cdot\,)$. One jump of the process $W_n(\,\cdot\,)$ corresponds to r_n consecutive jumps of the process $V_n(\,\cdot\,)$. In this way we have partially smoothed the trajectories of partial sum processes such that each cluster can consist of only one excess.

Functional limit theorems for the processes $V_n(\,\cdot\,)$ base on the regular variation property of X_1. Therefore in obtaining the functional limit theorem for the processes $W_n(\,\cdot\,)$ we need to impose a similar condition on S_{r_n}. For this purpose we will assume S_{r_n} satisfies a certain large deviation condition (see relation (2.5) in the sequel).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some basic results on point processes and regular variation. We also describe precisely the large deviation condition that we impose on S_{r_n}. In Section 3 we state and prove the

functional limit theorem for the processes $W_n(\,\cdot\,)$ in the J_1 topology. Here we also discuss several examples of stationary sequences covered by our theorem. Finally, in Section 4 (Appendix) we prove that the mixing conditions used in our main theorem are implied by some conditions which are given in terms of the standardly used α–mixing and ρ–mixing conditions.

2. Preliminaries

At the beginning we introduce here some basic notions and results on point processes which will be used later on. For more background on the theory of point processes we refer to Kallenberg [11]. Let $\mathbb{E} = \overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{E}$ define

$$\rho(x,y) = \max\Big\{\Big|\frac{1}{|x|} - \frac{1}{|y|}\Big|, |\operatorname{sign} x - \operatorname{sign} y|\Big\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\operatorname{sign} z = z/|z|$. With the metric ρ, $\mathbb{E}$ becomes a locally compact, complete and separable matric space. A set $B \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ is relatively compact if it is bounded away from origin, that is, if there exists $u > 0$ such that $B \subseteq \mathbb{E} \setminus [-u, u]$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{E})$ the σ–algebra generated by ρ–open sets. Let $M_+(\mathbb{E})$ be the class of all Radon measures on $\mathbb{E}$, i.e. all nonnegative measures that are finite on relatively compact subsets of $\mathbb{E}$. A useful topology for $M_+(\mathbb{E})$ is the vague topology which renders $M_+(\mathbb{E})$ a complete separable metric space. If $\mu_n \in M_+(\mathbb{E})$, $n \geq 0$, then μ_n converges vaguely to μ_0 (written $\mu_n \xrightarrow{v} \mu_0$) if $\int f\, d\mu_n \to \int f\, d\mu_0$ for all $f \in C_K^+(\mathbb{E})$, where $C_K^+(\mathbb{E})$ denotes the class of all nonnegative continuous real functions on $\mathbb{E}$ with compact support. One metric that induces the vague topology is given by

$$d_v(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\bigg(\Big|\int_{\mathbb{E}} f_k(x)\, \mu_1(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{E}} f_k\, \mu_2(dx)\Big| \wedge 1\bigg), \qquad \mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_+(\mathbb{E}), \tag{2.2}$$

for some sequence of functions $f_k \in C_K^+(\mathbb{E})$, where $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$. We call d_v the vague metric.

A Radon point measure is an element of $M_+(\mathbb{E})$ of the form $m = \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$, where δ_x is the Dirac measure. Denote by $M_p(\mathbb{E})$ the class of all Radon point measures. Since $M_p(\mathbb{E})$ is a subset of $M_+(\mathbb{E})$, we endow it with the relative topology. Let $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{E})$ be the Borel σ–field of subsets of $M_p(\mathbb{E})$ generated by open sets. A point process on $\mathbb{E}$ is a measurable map from a given probability space to the measurable space $(M_p(\mathbb{E}), \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{E}))$. A standard example of point process is the Poisson process. Suppose μ is a given Radon measure on $\mathbb{E}$. Then N is a Poisson process with mean (intensity) measure μ, or synonymously, a Poisson random measure (PRM(μ)), if for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{E})$:

$$\mathrm{P}(N(A) = k) = \begin{cases} \exp(-\mu(A))(\mu(A))^k/k! & \text{if } \mu(A) < \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu(A) = \infty \end{cases}$$

and if $A_1, \ldots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{E})$ are mutually disjoint, then $N(A_1), \ldots, N(A_k)$ are independent random variables.

A sequence of point processes (N_n) on $\mathbb{E}$ converges in distribution to a point process N on $\mathbb{E}$ (written $N_n \xrightarrow{d} N$) if $\mathrm{E}f(N_n) \to \mathrm{E}f(N)$ for every bounded continuous function $f\colon M_p(\mathbb{E}) \to \mathbb{R}$. The point processes convergence is characterized by convergence of Laplace functionals. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_+$ the set of bounded measurable

functions $f\colon \mathbb{E} \to [0,\infty)$. For a point process N on $\mathbb{E}$ the Laplace functional of N is the nonnegative function on $\mathcal{B}_+$ given by

$$\Psi_N(f) = \mathrm{E}e^{-N(f)}, \qquad f \in \mathcal{B}_+,$$

where $N(f) = \int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x)N(dx)$. Then it holds that given point processes N_n, $n \geq 0$,

$$N_n \xrightarrow{d} N_0 \qquad \text{iff} \qquad \Psi_{N_n}(f) \to \Psi_{N_0}(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_K^+(\mathbb{E}) \tag{2.3}$$

(see Kallenberg [11], Theorem 4.2).

Let (X_n) be a strictly stationary sequence of regularly varying random variables with index $\alpha \in (0,2)$, and let (a_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $n\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > a_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Regular variation can be expressed in terms of vague convergence of measures on $\mathbb{E}$:

$$n\mathrm{P}(a_n^{-1}X_1 \in \cdot\,) \xrightarrow{v} \mu(\,\cdot\,) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

the Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{E}$ being given by

$$\mu(dx) = \big(p\alpha x^{-\alpha-1}1_{(0,\infty)}(x) + q\alpha(-x)^{-\alpha-1}1_{(-\infty,0)}(x)\big)dx,$$

where p and q are as in (1.3).

Using standard regular variation arguments it can be shown that for every $\lambda > 0$ it holds that $a_{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor}/a_n \to \lambda^{1/\alpha}$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore a_n can be represented as $a_n = n^{1/\alpha}L'(n)$, where $L'(\,\cdot\,)$ is a slowly varying function at ∞.

Through the whole paper we will assume the sequence (X_n) satisfies the following large deviation type relations:

$$\begin{aligned} k_n\mathrm{P}(S_{r_n} > xa_n) &\to c_+x^{-\alpha}, \\ k_n\mathrm{P}(S_{r_n} < -xa_n) &\to c_-x^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned} \qquad x > 0, \tag{2.4}$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $c_+, c_- \geq 0$ are some constants, (r_n) is a sequence of positive integers such that $r_n \to \infty$ and $r_n/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and $k_n = \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor$. Some sufficient conditions for relations in (2.4) to hold are given in Bartkiewicz et al. [2] and Davis and Hsing [7]. It is easy to see (for example by Lemma 6.1 in Resnick [16]) that (2.4) is equivalent to

$$k_n\mathrm{P}(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n} \in \cdot\,) \xrightarrow{v} \nu(\,\cdot\,) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

where ν is the measure

$$\nu(dx) = \big(c_+\alpha x^{-\alpha-1}1_{(0,\infty)}(x) + c_-\alpha(-x)^{-\alpha-1}1_{(-\infty,0)}(x)\big)dx. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and assume relation (2.5) holds. Then for any $u > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n\mathrm{E}\bigg(\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n}1_{\{\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} \leq u\}}\bigg) = \int_{|x|\leq u} |x|\,\nu(dx). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Fix $u > 0$. Define

$$\nu_n(\,\cdot\,) = k_n\mathrm{P}(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n} \in \cdot\,), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$f_\delta(x) = |x|1_{\overline{B}(\delta,\,u)}(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{E},\ \delta \in (0,u),$$

where $B(\delta,\,u) = \{x \in \mathbb{E} : \delta < |x| < u\}$ (and $\overline{B}(\delta,\,u) = \{x \in \mathbb{E} : \delta \leq |x| \leq u\}$). By relation (2.5) we have $\nu_n \xrightarrow{v} \nu$ as $n \to \infty$, and this yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{E}} f_\delta(x)\,\nu_n(dx) \to \int_{\mathbb{E}} f_\delta(x)\,\nu(dx), \tag{2.8}$$

as $n \to \infty$ (see Kallenberg [11], 15.7.3). Define

$$f(x) = |x| 1_{\overline{B}(u)}(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $B(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{E} : |x| < r\}$. For any $\delta \in (0,\, u)$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x)\, \nu_n(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x)\, \nu(dx) \right| &\leq \left| \int_{B(\delta)} f(x)\, \nu_n(dx) - \int_{B(\delta)} f(x)\, \nu(dx) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{B(\delta)^c} f(x)\, \nu_n(dx) - \int_{B(\delta)^c} f(x)\, \nu(dx) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{B(\delta)} f(x)\, \nu_n(dx) \right| + \left| \int_{B(\delta)} f(x)\, \nu(dx) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\overline{B}(\delta,\, u)} f(x)\, \nu_n(dx) - \int_{\overline{B}(\delta,\, u)} f(x)\, \nu(dx) \right|. \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the right hand side of (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{B(\delta)} f(x)\, \nu_n(dx) \right| &= \int_{\mathbb{E}} |x| 1_{B(\delta)}(x)\, \nu_n(dx) = k_n \int \left| \frac{S_{r_n}}{a_n} \right| 1_{\{|S_{r_n}| < \delta a_n\}}\, d\mathrm{P} \\
&= k_n \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} 1_{\{|S_{r_n}| < \delta a_n\}} \right] = k_n \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} 1_{\{|S_{r_n}| < \delta a_n\}} 1_{\{\cap_{j=1}^{r_n} \{|X_j| \leq \delta a_n\}\}} \right] \\
&\quad + k_n \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} 1_{\{|S_{r_n}| < \delta a_n\}} 1_{\{\cup_{j=1}^{r_n} \{|X_j| > \delta a_n\}\}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This term is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq k_n \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} |X_j|}{a_n} 1_{\{\cap_{j=1}^{r_n} \{|X_j| \leq \delta a_n\}\}} \right] + k_n \delta \mathrm{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r_n} \{|X_j| > \delta a_n\} \right) \\
&\leq k_n \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{|X_j|}{a_n} 1_{\{|X_j| \leq \delta a_n\}} \right] + k_n \delta \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} \mathrm{P}(|X_j| > \delta a_n) \\
&= k_n r_n \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{|X_1|}{a_n} 1_{\{|X_1| \leq \delta a_n\}} \right] + k_n r_n \delta \mathrm{P}(|X_1| > \delta a_n) \\
&= \delta \cdot \frac{k_n r_n}{n} \cdot n\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > \delta a_n) \cdot \left[\frac{\mathrm{E}[|X_1| 1_{\{|X_1| \leq \delta a_n\}}]}{\delta a_n \mathrm{P}(|X_1| > \delta a_n)} + 1 \right]. \qquad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the sequences (r_n) and (k_n) it follows $k_n r_n / n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Since X_1 is a regularly varying random variable with index α, it follows immediately $n\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > \delta a_n) \to \delta^{-\alpha}$ as $n \to \infty$. By Karamata's theorem it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}[|X_1| 1_{\{|X_1| \leq \delta a_n\}}]}{\delta a_n \mathrm{P}(|X_1| > \delta a_n)} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Now from (2.10) we get

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \int_{B(\delta)} f(x)\, \nu_n(dx) \right| \leq \delta^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} + 1 \right),$$

and therefore, since $\alpha \in (0,1)$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|\int_{B(\delta)} f(x)\,\nu_n(dx)\right| = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

By the representation of the measure ν in (2.6) we get

$$\int_{|x|<\delta} |x|\,\nu(dx) = (c_- + c_+)\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\,\delta^{1-\alpha}.$$

Hence for the second term on the right hand side of (2.9) we have

$$\left|\int_{B(\delta)} f(x)\,\nu(dx)\right| = \int_{|x|<\delta} |x|\,\nu(dx) \to 0 \qquad \text{as } \delta\to 0. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.8) we get for the third term on the right hand side of (2.9)

$$\left|\int_{\overline{B}(\delta,\,u)} f(x)\,\nu_n(dx) - \int_{\overline{B}(\delta,\,u)} f(x)\,\nu(dx)\right| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{E}} f_\delta(x)\,\nu_n(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{E}} f_\delta(x)\,\nu(dx)\right| \to 0 \tag{2.13}$$

as $n\to\infty$. Now from (2.9) using (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x)\,\nu_n(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x)\,\nu(dx)\right| = 0.$$

From this immediately follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x)\,\nu_n(dx) \to \int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x)\,\nu(dx) \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty,$$

i.e.

$$k_n \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}}\right) \to \int_{|x|\le u} |x|\,\nu(dx) \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

□

3. Main theorem

Let (X_n) be a strictly stationary sequence of regularly varying random variables with index $\alpha\in(0,2)$. Assume (2.4) holds. The theorem below gives conditions under which a stochastic sum process constructed from the sequence $(S^k_{r_n})$ satisfies a nonstandard functional limit theorem in the space $D[0,1]$ of real-valued càdlàg functions equipped with the Skorohod J_1 topology, with a non-Gaussian α–stable Lévy process as a limit. Recall that the distribution of a Lévy process $W(\,\cdot\,)$ is characterized by its characteristic triplet, i.e. the characteristic triplet of the infinitely divisible distribution of $W(1)$. The characteristic function of $W(1)$ and the characteristic triplet (a,μ,b) are related in the following way:

$$\mathrm{E}[e^{izW(1)}] = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}az^2 + ibz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{izx} - 1 - izx1_{[-1,1]}(x)\right)\mu(dx)\right)$$

for $z\in\mathbb{R}$; here $a\ge 0$, $b\in\mathbb{R}$ are constants, and μ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\mu(\{0\}) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x|^2\wedge 1)\,\mu(dx) < \infty,$$

that is, μ is a Lévy measure. For a textbook treatment of Lévy processes we refer to Bertoin [4] and Sato [18].

The metric d_{J_1} that generates the J_1 topology on $D[0,1]$ is defined in the following way. Let Δ be the set of strictly increasing continuous functions $\lambda\colon [0,1]\to[0,1]$

such that $\lambda(0) = 0$ and $\lambda(1) = 1$, and let $e \in \Delta$ be the identity map on $[0,1]$, i.e. $e(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. For $x, y \in D[0,1]$ define

$$d_{J_1}(x,y) = \inf\{\|x \circ \lambda - y\|_{[0,1]} \vee \|\lambda - e\|_{[0,1]} : \lambda \in \Delta\},$$

where $\|x\|_{[0,1]} = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in [0,1]\}$ and $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$. Then d_{J_1} is a metric on $D[0,1]$ and is called the Skorohod J_1 metric.

The mixing condition appropriate for the result in this section is similar to the condition $\mathcal{A}(a_n)$ of Davis and Hsing [7], and hence we denote it by $\mathcal{A}^*(a_n)$ and say that a strictly stationary sequence of random variables (X_n) satisfies the mixing condition $\mathcal{A}^*(a_n)$ if there exist a sequence of positive integers (r_n) such that $r_n \to \infty$ and $r_n/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and such that for every $f \in C_K^+(\mathbb{E})$ (denoting $k_n = \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor$)

$$\mathrm{E}\exp\bigg(-\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)\bigg) - \Big(\mathrm{E}\exp(-f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}))\Big)^{k_n} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

In case $\alpha \in [1,2)$, we will need to assume that the contribution of the smaller increments of the partial sum process is close to its expectation.

Condition 3.1. *There exists a sequence of positive integers (r_n) with $r_n \to \infty$ and $k_n = \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, such that for all $\delta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{P}\bigg[\max_{1 \le j \le k_n} \bigg|\sum_{k=1}^{j} \bigg(\frac{S_{r_n}^k}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}^k|}{a_n} \le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\bigg(\frac{S_{r_n}^k}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}^k|}{a_n} \le u\right\}}\bigg)\bigg)\bigg| > \delta\bigg] = 0.$$

In Appendix we discuss some sufficient conditions for the mixing condition $\mathcal{A}^*(a_n)$ and Condition 3.1 to hold.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X_n) be a strictly stationary sequence of regularly varying random variables with index $\alpha \in (0,2)$, and let (a_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $n\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > a_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Suppose there exists a sequence of positive integers (r_n) such that, as $n \to \infty$, $r_n \to \infty$, $k_n = \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor \to \infty$ and*

$$k_n \mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{S_{r_n}}{a_n} \in \cdot\bigg) \xrightarrow{v} \nu(\,\cdot\,). \quad (3.2)$$

Suppose that the mixing condition $\mathcal{A}^*(a_n)$ and Condition 3.1 if $\alpha \in [1,2)$ hold with the same sequence (r_n) as in (3.2). Then for a stochastic process defined by

$$W_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor k_n t \rfloor} \frac{S_{r_n}^k}{a_n} - \lfloor k_n t \rfloor \mathrm{E}\bigg(\frac{S_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} \le 1\right\}}\bigg), \quad t \in [0,1],$$

it holds that

$$W_n \xrightarrow{d} W_0, \qquad n \to \infty,$$

in $D[0,1]$ endowed with the J_1 topology, where $W_0(\,\cdot\,)$ is an α–stable Lévy process with characteristic triplet $(0, \nu, 0)$.

Proof. Let, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(Z_{n,k})_k$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that $Z_{n,1} \stackrel{d}{=} S_{r_n}$. By relation (3.2) we have

$$k_n \mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{Z_{n,1}}{a_n} \in \cdot\bigg) \xrightarrow{v} \nu(\,\cdot\,) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Theorem 5.3 in Resnick [16] then implies, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\widetilde{\xi}_n := \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{a_n^{-1} Z_{n,k}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{PRM}(\nu) \tag{3.4}$$

on $\mathbb{E}$. Define the point process $\xi_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k}$. For any $f \in C_K^+(\mathbb{E})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\xi_n}(f) - \Psi_{\widetilde{\xi}_n}(f) &= \mathrm{E} \exp\Big(- \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k) \Big) - \Big(\mathrm{E} \exp(-f(a_n^{-1} Z_{1,\,n})) \Big)^{k_n} \\ &= \mathrm{E} \exp\Big(- \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k) \Big) - \Big(\mathrm{E} \exp(-f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n})) \Big)^{k_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the mixing condition $\mathcal{A}^*(a_n)$ implies $\Psi_{\xi_n}(f) - \Psi_{\widetilde{\xi}_n}(f) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then by relations (2.3) and (3.4) we obtain, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k} \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{PRM}(\nu). \tag{3.5}$$

Suppose $U_1, \ldots, U_{k_n}$ are i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$ with order statistics $U_{1:k_n} \le U_{2:k_n} \le \ldots \le U_{k_n:k_n}$, which are independent of $(S_{r_n}^k)$. From (3.5) using Lemma 4.3 of Resnick [15] we obtain, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{(U_k,\, a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)} \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{PRM}(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B} \times \nu).$$

From the independence of (U_k) and $(S_{r_n}^k)$, we have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{(U_{k:k_n},\, a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{(U_k,\, a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)}$$

as random elements of $M_+([0,1] \times \mathbb{E})$. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{(U_{k:k_n},\, a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)} \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{PRM}(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B} \times \nu). \tag{3.6}$$

Using the arguments from Step 3 in the proof of Theorem 6.3 in Resnick [16] we get

$$d_v\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{(k/k_n,\, a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)},\, \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{(U_{k:k_n},\, a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)} \Big) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{3.7}$$

where d_v is the vague metric on $M_+([0,1] \times \mathbb{E})$ (cf. (2.2)). From (3.6) and (3.7) using Slutsky's theorem (see for instance Theorem 3.4 in Resnick [16]) we obtain, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \delta_{(k/k_n,\, a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)} \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{PRM}(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B} \times \nu) = \sum_k \delta_{(t_k, j_k)}$$

on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}$. From this using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 7.1 in Resnick [16], we obtain that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$W_n^{(u)} \xrightarrow{d} W_0^{(u)} \tag{3.8}$$

in $D[0,1]$ with the J_1 topology, where

$$W_n^{(u)}(\,\cdot\,) := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor k_n \cdot \rfloor} \frac{S_{r_n}^k}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}^k|}{a_n} > u\right\}} - \lfloor k_n \cdot \rfloor \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{S_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{u < \frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} \le 1\right\}} \right),$$

and

$$W_0^{(u)}(\,\cdot\,) := \sum_{t_k \le \cdot} j_k 1_{\{|j_k| > u\}} - (\,\cdot\,) \int_{u<|x|\le 1} x\, \nu(dx).$$

From the Lévy-Itô representation of a Lévy process (see Section 5.5.3 in Resnick [16] or Theorem 19.2 in Sato [18]), there exists a Lévy process $W_0(\,\cdot\,)$ with characteristic triplet $(0, \nu, 0)$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |W_0^{(u)}(t) - W_0(t)| \to 0$$

almost surely as $u \downarrow 0$. Since uniform convergence implies Skorohod J_1 convergence, we get $d_{J_1}(W_0^{(u)}, W_0) \to 0$ almost surely as $u \downarrow 0$. Therefore since almost sure convergence implies convergence in distribution,

$$W_0^{(u)} \xrightarrow{d} W_0 \qquad \text{as } u \to 0, \tag{3.9}$$

in $D[0,1]$ with the J_1 topology.

If we show that

$$\lim_{u\downarrow 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}[d_{J_1}(W_n^{(u)}, W_n) > \delta] = 0$$

for any $\delta > 0$, then from (3.8), (3.9) and Theorem 3.5 in Resnick [16] we will have, as $n \to \infty$,

$$W_n \xrightarrow{d} W_0$$

in $D[0,1]$ with the J_1 topology. Since the J_1 metric on $D[0,1]$ is bounded above by the uniform metric on $D[0,1]$, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{u\downarrow 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |W_n^{(u)}(t) - W_n(t)| > \delta \right) = 0.$$

We have

$$\mathrm{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |W_n^{(u)}(t) - W_n(t)| > \delta \right) = \mathrm{P}\left[\max_{1\le j\le k_n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j} \left(\frac{S_{r_n}^k}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}^k|}{a_n} \le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{S_{r_n}^k}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}^k|}{a_n} \le u\right\}} \right) \right) \right| > \delta \right]. \tag{3.10}$$

For $\alpha \in [1,2)$ this relation is simply Condition 3.1. Therefore it remains to show (3.10) for the case when $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Hence assume $\alpha \in (0,1)$. For arbitrary (and fixed) $\delta > 0$ define

$$I(u,n) = \mathrm{P}\left[\max_{1\le j\le k_n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j} \left(\frac{S_{r_n}^k}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}^k|}{a_n} \le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{S_{r_n}^k}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}^k|}{a_n} \le u\right\}} \right) \right) \right| > \delta \right].$$

Using stationarity and Chebyshev's inequality we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} I(u,n) &\le \mathrm{P}\Big[\max_{1\le j\le k_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \Big| \frac{S^k_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{S^k_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} \Big) \Big| > \delta \Big] \\ &= \mathrm{P}\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \Big| \frac{S^k_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{S^k_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} \Big) \Big| > \delta \Big] \\ &\le \delta^{-1}\mathrm{E}\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \Big| \frac{S^k_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{S^k_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} \Big) \Big| \Big] \\ &\le 2\delta^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} \Big) \\ &= 2\delta^{-1} k_n \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} k_n \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n}\le u\right\}} \Big) &= \int_{|x|\le u} |x|\, \nu(dx) \\ &= (c_- + c_+)\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} u^{1-\alpha} \\ &\to 0 \qquad \text{as } u \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{u\downarrow 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} I(u,n) = 0,$$

which completes the proof, with the note that the α–stability of the process $W_0(\,\cdot\,)$ follows from Theorem 14.3 in Sato [18] and the representation of the measure ν in (2.6). □

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.2 covers a wide range of stationary sequences. In Bartkiewicz et al. [2] are given some sufficient conditions for relation (3.2) to hold (see their Theorem 1 and Section 3.2.2) and several examples of standard time series models that satisfy these conditions, including m–dependent sequences, GARCH(1,1) process and its squares, solutions to stochastic recurrence equations and stochastic volatility models. These conditions are:

(C1) The process (X_n) is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in (0,2)$, i.e. for every $d \ge 1$, the d–dimensional random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ is multivariate regularly varying with index α. This means that for some (and then for every) norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ there exists a random vector $\mathbf{\Theta}$ on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1\}$ such that for every $u > 0$ and as $x \to \infty$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{P}(\|\mathbf{X}\| > ux, \mathbf{X}/\|\mathbf{X}\| \in \cdot\,)}{\mathrm{P}(\|\mathbf{X}\| > x)} \xrightarrow{w} u^{-\alpha}\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{\Theta} \in \cdot\,),$$

where the arrow "$\xrightarrow{w}$" denotes weak convergence of finite measures.

(C2) There exists a sequence of positive integers (r_n) such that $r_n \to \infty$, $k_n = \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor \to \infty$ and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left|\varphi_n(x) - (\varphi_{nr_n}(x))^{k_n}\right| \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $\varphi_{nj}(x) = \mathrm{E}e^{ixa_n^{-1}S_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $\varphi_n(x) = \varphi_{nn}(x)$.

(C3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{r_n} \sum_{j=d+1}^{r_n} \mathrm{E}\left|\overline{xa_n^{-1}(S_j - S_d)} \cdot \overline{xa_n^{-1}X_1}\right| = 0,$$

where the sequence $(r_n)_n$ is the same as in (C2) and for an arbitrary random variable Z we put $\overline{Z} = (Z \wedge 2) \vee (-2)$.

(C4) The limits

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathrm{P}(S_d > a_n) = b_+(d) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathrm{P}(S_d \le -a_n) = b_-(d), \qquad d \ge 1,$$

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} (b_+(d) - b_+(d-1)) = c_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{d\to\infty} (b_-(d) - b_-(d-1)) = c_-$$

exists.

(C5) For $\alpha > 1$ assume $\mathrm{E}X_1 = 0$ and for $\alpha = 1$,

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} n\left|\mathrm{E}(\sin(a_n^{-1}S_d))\right| = 0.$$

With appropriate (and standard) assumptions, which are precisely described in [2], the above mentioned time series models are strongly mixing with geometric rate, which suffices the mixing condition $\mathcal{A}^*(a_n)$ to hold (see Proposition 4.1 below). Therefore, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, all conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied and the conclusion of the theorem follows. Naturally, for $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ one has also to verify Condition 3.1.

4. Appendix

In this section we give some sufficient conditions for the mixing condition $\mathcal{A}^*(a_n)$ and Condition 3.1 to hold. These conditions are principally based on the well known strong or α–mixing and ρ–mixing conditions. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P})$ be a probability space. For any σ-field $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F}$, let $L_2(\mathcal{A})$ denote the space of square-integrable, $\mathcal{A}$-measurable, real-valued random variables. For any two σ-fields $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ define

$$\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup\{|\mathrm{P}(A \cap B) - \mathrm{P}(A)\mathrm{P}(B)| : A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

and

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup\Big\{\frac{|\mathrm{E}(XY) - \mathrm{E}X\mathrm{E}Y|}{\sqrt{\mathrm{E}X^2\mathrm{E}Y^2}} : X \in L_2(\mathcal{A}), Y \in L_2(\mathcal{B})\Big\}.$$

Let now $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P})$, and denote $\mathcal{F}_k^l = \sigma(\{X_i : k \le i \le l\})$ for $-\infty \le k \le l \le \infty$. Then we say the sequence $(X_n)_n$ is α–mixing (or strongly mixing) if

$$\alpha(n) = \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha(\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^j, \mathcal{F}_{j+n}^\infty) \to 0$$

and ρ–mixing if

$$\rho(n) = \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^j, \mathcal{F}_{j+n}^\infty) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Note that when the sequence (X_n) is strictly stationary, one has simply $\alpha(n) = \alpha(\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^0, \mathcal{F}_n^\infty)$, and similar for $\rho(n)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose (X_n) is a strictly stationary sequence of regularly varying random variables with index $\alpha \in (0,2)$, and (a_n) a sequence of positive real numbers such that $n\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > a_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Assume relation (3.2) holds for some sequence of positive integers (r_n) such that $r_n \to \infty$ and $r_n/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and $k_n = \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor = o(n^t)$ for some $0 < t < 1$. If the sequence (X_n) is strongly mixing with exponential rate, i.e. $\alpha_n \leq C\rho^n$ for some $\rho \in (0,1)$ and $C > 0$, where (α_n) is the sequence of α–mixing coefficients of (X_n), then the mixing condition $\mathcal{A}^*(a_n)$ holds.*

Proof. Let (l_n) be an arbitrary (and fixed) sequence of positive real numbers such that $l_n \sim n^q$, i.e. $l_n/n^q \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, where $q = \min\{1/\alpha, (1-t)/(1+\alpha)\}/2$. Let n be large enough such that $l_n < r_n$ (note that for large n it holds that $l_n < n^{1-t} < r_n$). We break $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ into blocks of r_n consecutive random variables. The last l_n variables in each block will be dropped. Then we shall show that doing so, the new blocks will be almost independent (as $n \to \infty$) and this will imply relation (3.1) for the new blocks. The error which occurs by cutting of the ends of the original blocks will be small, and this will imply condition (3.1) for the original blocks also.

Take an arbitrary $f \in C_K^+(\mathbb{E})$. Since its support is bounded away from 0, there exists some $r > 0$ such that $f(x) = 0$ for $|x| \leq r$, and since f is bounded, there exists some $M > 0$ such that $|f(x)| < M$ for all $x \in \mathbb{E}$. For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$S^k_{r_n,\,l_n} = X_{kr_n - l_n + 1} + \ldots + X_{kr_n}.$$

$S^k_{r_n,\,l_n}$ is the sum of the last l_n random variables in the k-th block. By stationarity we have

$$S^k_{r_n} - S^k_{r_n,\,l_n} \stackrel{d}{=} S^1_{r_n} - S^1_{r_n,\,l_n} = S_{r_n - l_n}.$$

This and the following inequality

$$|\mathrm{E}gh - \mathrm{E}g\mathrm{E}h| \leq 4C_1C_2\alpha_m,$$

for a $\mathcal{F}^j_{-\infty}$ measurable function g and a $\mathcal{F}^\infty_{j+m}$ measurable function h such that $|g| \leq C_1$ and $|h| \leq C_2$ (see Lemma 1.2.1 in Lin and Lu [13]), applied k_n times, give

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathrm{E}\exp\Big(-\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1}S^k_{r_n} - a_n^{-1}S^k_{r_n,\,l_n})\Big) - \Big(\mathrm{E}\exp(-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}))\Big)^{k_n}\right| \\ \leq\ 4k_n\alpha_{l_n+1}. \qquad (4.1)\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\mathrm{E}\exp\Big(-\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}^k)\Big) - \Big(\mathrm{E}\exp(-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}))\Big)^{k_n}\Big| \\
&\quad\le \Big|\mathrm{E}\exp\Big(-\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}^k)\Big) - \mathrm{E}\exp\Big(-\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}^k - a_n^{-1}S_{r_n,\,l_n}^k)\Big)\Big| \\
&\qquad + \Big|\mathrm{E}\exp\Big(-\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}^k - a_n^{-1}S_{r_n,\,l_n}^k)\Big) - \Big(\mathrm{E}\exp(-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}))\Big)^{k_n}\Big| \\
&\qquad + \Big|\Big(\mathrm{E}\exp(-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}))\Big)^{k_n} - \Big(\mathrm{E}\exp(-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}))\Big)^{k_n}\Big| \\
&\quad=: I_1(n) + I_2(n) + I_3(n). \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.3 in Durrett [8] and stationarity we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(n) &\le \mathrm{E}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} |e^{-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}^k)} - e^{-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}^k - a_n^{-1}S_{r_n,\,l_n}^k)}|\Big) \\
&= k_n\mathrm{E}\big|e^{-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n})} - e^{-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})}\big| \\
&= k_n\mathrm{E}\big|e^{-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n})}(1 - e^{f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n})-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})})\big| \\
&\le k_n\mathrm{E}\big|1 - e^{f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n})-f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})}\big|.
\end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that for any $t > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(t) > 0$ such that

$$|1 - e^{-x}| \le C|x|, \qquad \text{for all } |x| < t.$$

Since for all $x, y \in \mathbb{E}$, $|f(x) - f(y)| < 2M$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$I_1(n) \le Ck_n\mathrm{E}|f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}) - f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})|. \qquad (4.3)$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}|f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}) - f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})| \\
&\quad= \mathrm{E}\big[|f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}) - f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})|1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n-l_n}|>r/2\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}|>r/4\}}\big] \\
&\qquad + \mathrm{E}\big[f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n-l_n}|>r/2\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}|\le r/4\}}\big] \\
&\qquad + \mathrm{E}\big[f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n-l_n}|\le r/2\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}|>r\}}\big] \\
&\quad\le \mathrm{E}\big[|f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}) - f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})|1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n-l_n}|>r/2\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}|>r/4\}}\big] \\
&\qquad + M\mathrm{P}\Big(\frac{|S_{l_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{r}{4}\Big) + M\mathrm{P}\Big(\frac{|S_{l_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{r}{2}\Big). \qquad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the set $S = \{x \in \mathbb{E} : |x| > r/4\}$ is relatively compact and any continuous function on a compact set is uniformly continuous, it follows that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ for all $x, y \in S$ such that $\rho(x, y) \le \delta$, where

ρ is the metric on $\mathbb{E}$ defined in (2.1). If $|x| > r/2$, $|y| > r/4$ and $\operatorname{sign}(x) = \operatorname{sign}(y)$, then $x, y \in S$ and

$$\rho(x,y) = \frac{||x|-|y||}{|xy|} \le \frac{8}{r^2}|x-y|. \tag{4.5}$$

Define

$$g_n(x,y) = |f(a_n^{-1}x) - f(a_n^{-1}y)|.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}\big[|f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}) - f(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})|1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n-l_n}|>r/2\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}|>r/4\}}\big] \\
&\quad= \mathrm{E}\big[g_n(S_{r_n},S_{r_n-l_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n-l_n}|>r/2,\, a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}|>r/4\}}1_{\{\operatorname{sign}(S_{r_n-l_n})\neq\operatorname{sign}(S_{r_n})\}}\big] \\
&\qquad+ \mathrm{E}\big[g_n(S_{r_n},S_{r_n-l_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}>r/2,\, a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}>r/4\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}-S_{r_n-l_n}|\le\delta r^2/8\}}\big] \\
&\qquad+ \mathrm{E}\big[g_n(S_{r_n},S_{r_n-l_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}<-r/2,\, a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}<-r/4\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}-S_{r_n-l_n}|\le\delta r^2/8\}}\big] \\
&\qquad+ \mathrm{E}\big[g_n(S_{r_n},S_{r_n-l_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}>r/2,\, a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}>r/4\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}-S_{r_n-l_n}|>\delta r^2/8\}}\big] \\
&\qquad+ \mathrm{E}\big[g_n(S_{r_n},S_{r_n-l_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}<-r/2,\, a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}<-r/4\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}|S_{r_n}-S_{r_n-l_n}|>\delta r^2/8\}}\big].
\end{aligned}$$

By stationarity and relation (4.5) this is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le\ 2M\mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{|S_{r_n}-S_{r_n-l_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{3r}{4}\bigg) \\
&\quad+ \mathrm{E}\big[g_n(S_{r_n},S_{r_n-l_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}>r/2\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}>r/4\}}1_{\{\rho(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n},\,a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})\le\delta\}}\big] \\
&\quad+ \mathrm{E}\big[g_n(S_{r_n},S_{r_n-l_n})1_{\{a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n}<-r/2\}}1_{\{a_n^{-1}S_{r_n}<-r/4\}}1_{\{\rho(a_n^{-1}S_{r_n},\,a_n^{-1}S_{r_n-l_n})\le\delta\}}\big] \\
&\quad+ 4M\mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{|S_{r_n}-S_{r_n-l_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{\delta r^2}{8}\bigg) \\
&\le\ 2M\mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{|S_{l_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{3r}{4}\bigg) + \epsilon\mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{r}{4}\bigg) + 4M\mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{|S_{l_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{\delta r^2}{8}\bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$I_1(n) \le 8MCk_n\mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{|S_{l_n}|}{a_n} > \gamma\bigg) + \epsilon Ck_n\mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{r}{4}\bigg), \tag{4.6}$$

where $\gamma = \min\{r/4, \delta r^2/8\} > 0$.

Recall that, since X_1 is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in (0,2)$ it holds that $\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > x) = x^{-\alpha}L(x)$ for any $x > 0$, where $L(\,\cdot\,)$ is a slowly varying function. It also holds that $a_n = n^{1/\alpha}L'(n)$, where $L'(\,\cdot\,)$ is a slowly varying function. Hence taking an arbitrary $0 < s < \min\{\alpha,\, \alpha(1-t-q-\alpha q)/(1-\alpha q)\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k_n\mathrm{P}\bigg(\frac{|S_{l_n}|}{a_n} > \gamma\bigg) &\le k_n l_n \mathrm{P}(|X_1| > \gamma a_n/l_n) = k_n l_n \bigg(\frac{\gamma a_n}{l_n}\bigg)^{-\alpha} L\bigg(\frac{\gamma a_n}{l_n}\bigg) \\
&= k_n l_n \bigg(\frac{\gamma a_n}{l_n}\bigg)^{s-\alpha} \cdot c_n,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_n = \left(\frac{\gamma a_n}{l_n}\right)^{-s} L\left(\frac{\gamma a_n}{l_n}\right).$$

Since $a_n/l_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, by Proposition 1.3.6 in Bingham et al. [5] we have that $c_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Further

$$\begin{aligned} k_n l_n \left(\frac{\gamma a_n}{l_n}\right)^{s-\alpha} &= \frac{k_n (l_n)^{1+\alpha-s}}{\gamma^{\alpha-s} a_n^{\alpha-s}} = \left(\frac{l_n}{n^q}\right)^{1+\alpha-s} \cdot \frac{k_n}{n^t} \cdot \frac{n^t (n^q)^{1+\alpha-s}}{\gamma^{\alpha-s} n^{(\alpha-s)/\alpha} (L'(n))^{\alpha-s}} \\ &\le \left(\frac{l_n}{n^q}\right)^{1+\alpha-s} \cdot \frac{k_n}{n^t} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma^{\alpha-s} n^p (L'(n))^{\alpha-s}} \end{aligned}$$

where $p = (\alpha - s)/\alpha - t - (1 + \alpha - s)q$. It can easily be checked that $p > 0$. This and the fact that $l_n \sim n^q$ and $k_n = o(n^t)$, by Proposition 1.3.6 in Bingham et al. [5], imply that $k_n l_n (\gamma a_n/l_n)^{s-\alpha} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence

$$k_n \mathrm{P}\left(\frac{|S_{l_n}|}{a_n} > \gamma\right) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

From relation (3.2) we obtain that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$k_n \mathrm{P}\left(\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} > \frac{r}{4}\right) \to \nu(\{x \in \mathbb{E} : |x| > r/4\}) =: A < \infty. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus from relations (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} I_1(n) \le AC\epsilon,$$

and since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_1(n) = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

From the assumption that (X_n) is strongly mixing with exponential rate it follows that $k_n \alpha_{l_n+1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and hence from (4.1) we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_2(n) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Using again Lemma 4.3 in Durrett [8] it follows

$$I_3(n) \le k_n \mathrm{E}\left|e^{-f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n})} - e^{-f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n - l_n})}\right|.$$

Repeating the same procedure as for $I_1(n)$ we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_3(n) = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Taking into account relations (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), from (4.2) we obtain that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathrm{E}\exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}^k)\right) - \left(\mathrm{E}\exp(-f(a_n^{-1} S_{r_n}))\right)^{k_n} \to 0,$$

and this concludes the proof. □

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose (X_n) is a strictly stationary sequence of regularly varying random variables with index of regular variation $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, and (a_n) a sequence of positive real numbers such that $n\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > a_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Let (r_n) be sequence of positive integers such that $r_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. If $r_n = o(n^s)$ for some*

$0 < s < 2/\alpha - 1$, and the sequence (ρ_n) of ρ–mixing coefficients of (X_n) decreases to zero as $n \to \infty$ and

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \rho_{\lfloor 2^{j/3} \rfloor} < \infty, \tag{4.12}$$

then Condition 3.1 holds.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u > 0$ be arbitrary. Define

$$Z_k = Z_k(u,n) = \frac{S^k_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{S^k_{r_n}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S^k_{r_n}|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}}\Big), \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Take an arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 define

$$I(u,n) = \mathrm{P}\Big[\max_{1\leq j\leq k_n} \Big|\sum_{k=1}^{j} Z_k\Big| > \delta\Big].$$

Corollary 2.1 in Peligrad [14] then implies

$$I(u,n) \leq \delta^{-2} C \exp\Big(8 \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 k_n \rfloor} \widetilde{\rho}_{\lfloor 2^{j/3} \rfloor}\Big)\, k_n \mathrm{E}(Z_1^2),$$

where $(\widetilde{\rho}_k)$ is the sequence of ρ-mixing coefficients of (Z_k) and C is some positive constant (here we put $\log_2 0 := 0$). Now standard calculations show that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\widetilde{\rho}_k \leq \rho_{(k-1)r_n+1},$$

and since the sequence (ρ_k) is non-increasing, we have $\widetilde{\rho}_k \leq \rho_k$. From this and assumption (4.12) we obtain that

$$I(u,n) \leq CL\delta^{-2}\, k_n \mathrm{E}(Z_1^2), \tag{4.13}$$

for some positive constant L. Further we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(Z_1^2) &\leq \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{|S_{r_n}|^2}{a_n^2} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}}\Big) = \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{|S_{r_n}|^2}{a_n^2} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}} 1_{\{\cap_{i=1}^{r_n} \{|X_i| \leq u a_n\}\}}\Big) \\
&\quad + \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{|S_{r_n}|^2}{a_n^2} 1_{\left\{\frac{|S_{r_n}|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}} 1_{\{\cup_{i=1}^{r_n} \{|X_i| > u a_n\}\}}\Big) \\
&\leq \mathrm{E}\Big(\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}}\Big|^2\Big) + u^2 \mathrm{P}\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_n} \{|X_i| > u a_n\}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}\Big(\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}}\Big|^2\Big) \\
&\quad = \mathrm{E}\Big(\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \frac{X_i 1_{\{|X_i| \leq u a_n\}} - \mathrm{E}(X_i 1_{\{|X_i| \leq u a_n\}})}{a_n} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}}\Big)\Big|^2\Big) \\
&\quad = \mathrm{E}(I_1^2) + 2\mathrm{E}(I_1) I_2 + I_2^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$I_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \frac{X_i 1_{\{|X_i| \leq u a_n\}} - \mathrm{E}(X_i 1_{\{|X_i| \leq u a_n\}})}{a_n} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u\right\}}\Big).$$

Since I_1 is a sum of centered random variables, by Theorem 2.1 in Peligrad [14] we have

$$\mathrm{E}(I_1^2) \le C \exp\Big(8 \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 r_n \rfloor} \rho_{\lfloor 2^{j/3} \rfloor}(n,u)\Big)\, r_n \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{X_1^2}{a_n^2} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \le u\right\}}\Big), \tag{4.16}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(\rho_j(n,u))_j$ is the sequence of ρ-mixing coefficients of $\Big(\frac{X_j}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_j|}{a_n} \le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{X_j}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_j|}{a_n} \le u\right\}}\Big)\Big)_j$. Since the function $f = f_{n,u} \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|x|}{a_n} \le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \le u\right\}}\Big)$$

is measurable, it follows that

$$\sigma\Big(\frac{X_j}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_j|}{a_n} \le u\right\}} - \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{X_j}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_j|}{a_n} \le u\right\}}\Big)\Big) \subseteq \sigma(X_j)$$

(see Theorem 4 in Chow and Teicher [6]). From this we immediately obtain $\rho_j(n,u) \le \rho_j$, for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u > 0$. Thus from (4.16), by a new application of assumption (4.12), we get

$$\mathrm{E}(I_1^2) \le CL\, r_n \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{X_1^2}{a_n^2} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \le u\right\}}\Big). \tag{4.17}$$

Note also $\mathrm{E}(I_1) = 0$. Since $\alpha \in (1,2)$ it holds that $\mathrm{E}|X_i| < \infty$. Hence

$$I_2^2 \le M \frac{r_n^2}{a_n^2}, \tag{4.18}$$

for some positive constant M. Now relations (4.14), (4.15), (4.17) and (4.18) imply

$$\begin{aligned} k_n \mathrm{E}(Z_1^2) &\le CL\, k_n r_n \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{X_1^2}{a_n^2} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \le u\right\}}\Big) + M \frac{k_n r_n^2}{a_n^2} + u^2 k_n r_n P(|X_1| > u a_n) \\ &= u^2 \cdot \frac{k_n r_n}{n} \cdot n\mathrm{P}(|X_1| > u a_n) \cdot \left[CL \frac{\mathrm{E}[X_1^2 1_{\{|X_1| \le u a_n\}}]}{u^2 a_n^2 P(|X_1| > u a_n)} + 1 \right] + M \frac{k_n r_n^2}{a_n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, using the regular variation property of X_1, Karamata's theorem and the fact that $k_n r_n / n \to 1$ and

$$\frac{k_n r_n^2}{a_n^2} = \frac{k_n r_n}{n} \cdot \frac{r_n}{n^s} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{2/\alpha - 1 - s} (L'(n))^2} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ (here we used again the representation $a_n = n^{1/\alpha} L'(n)$, with $L'(\,\cdot\,)$ being a slowly varying function at ∞) we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} k_n \mathrm{E}(Z_1^2) \le u^{2-\alpha} \Big(\frac{CL\alpha}{2-\alpha} + 1 \Big).$$

Letting $u \downarrow 0$, it follows that $\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} k_n \mathrm{E}(Z_1^2) = 0$. Therefore, from (4.13), we get

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} I(u,n) = 0,$$

and Condition 3.1 holds. □

Remark 4.1. A careful analysis of the proof of Proposition 4.2 shows that the additional condition on the sequence (r_n) (namely, $r_n = o(n^s)$ for some $0 < s < 2/\alpha - 1$) can be dropped if we assume that the random variables X_i are symmetric, since then we can directly apply Theorem 2.1 of Peligrad [14] to $\mathrm{E}(|\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} a_n^{-1} X_i 1_{\{|X_i| \le u a_n\}}|^2)$, and hence we do not need to introduce I_2 (to which the additional condition on r_n is related). This also holds for $\alpha = 1$.

References

[1] F. Avram and M. Taqqu, Weak convergence of sums of moving averages in the α–stable domain of attraction, *Ann. Probab.* **20** (1992), 483–503.

[2] Bartkiewicz, K., Jakubowski, A., Mikosch, T. and Wintenberger, O., Stable limits for sums of dependent infinite variance random variables, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **150** (2011), 337–372.

[3] Basrak, B., Krizmanić, D. and Segers, J, A functional limit theorem for partial sums of dependent random variables with infinite variance, *Ann. Probab.* **40** (2012), 2008–2033.

[4] Bertoin, J., *Lévy Processes*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 121, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[5] Bingham, N. H., Goldie, C. M. and Teugels, J. L., *Regular variation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[6] Chow, Y. S. and Teicher, H., *Probability Theory: Independence, Interchangeability, Martngales*, 3rd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[7] Davis, R. A. and Hsing, T., Point process and partial sum convergence for weakly dependent random variables with infinite variance, *Ann. Probab.* **23** (1995), 879–917.

[8] Durrett, R., *Probability: theory and examples*, 2nd edition, Duxbury Press, Wadsworth Publishing Company, USA, 1996.

[9] Feller, W., *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 2, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1971.

[10] Gnedenko, B. V. and Kolmogorov, A. N., *Limit Theorems for Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Addison-Wesley, Cambridge, 1954.

[11] Kallenberg, O., *Random Measures*, 3rd edition, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[12] Leadbetter, M. R. and Rootzén, H., Extremal theory for stochastic processes, *Ann. Probab.* **16** (1988), 431–478.

[13] Lin, Z. Y. and Lu, C. R., *Limit Theory for Mixing Dependent Random Variables*, Mathematics and Its Aplication, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[14] Peligrad, M., Convergence of stopped sums of weakly dependent random variables, *Electron. J. Probab.* **4** (1999), 1–13.

[15] Resnick, S. I., Point processes, regular variation and weak convergence, *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **18** (1986), 66–138.

[16] Resnick, S. I., *Heavy-Tail Phenomena: Probabilistic nad Statistical Modeling*, Springer Science+Business Media LLC, New York. 2007.

[17] E. L. Rvačeva, On domains of attraction of multi-dimensional distributions. In: *Select. Transl. Math. Statist. and Probability* Vol. 2, pp. 183–205. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1962.

[18] K. Sato, *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 68. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[19] Skorohod, A. V., Limit theorems for stochastic processes, *Theor. Probab. Appl.* **1** (1956), 261–290.

[20] Skorohod, A. V., Limit theorems for stochastic processes with independent increments, *Theor. Probab. Appl.* **2** (1957), 145–177.

[21] Tyran-Kamińska, M., Convergence to Lévy stable processes under some weak dependence conditions, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **120** (2010), 1629–1650.

Danijel Krizmanić, Department of Mathematics, University of Rijeka, Radmile Matejčić 2, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

E-mail address: dkrizmanic@math.uniri.hr